## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/651,038 08/29/2003		Katsuyuki Sakai	086142-0575	8515	
22428	7590 04/10/2006		EXAMINER		
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			ROSENBERG, LAURA B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20007		3616		

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/651,038	SAKAI ET AL.	SAKAI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Laura B. Rosenberg	3616			

	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Laura B. Rosenberg	3616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	•					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of expunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		colou ciaims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro</li> </ol>		ll be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below of appended.					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-6 and 8-12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.			
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
13.  Other:	11/					
peral 4/6/06						
	PAUL N. DICKSO	N / /				
		4 / A B 419 ( PP)				

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

**Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)** 

**Application No. 10/651,038** 

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive, and the amendment to the claims does not overcome the prior art of record.